

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/729,195	Applicant(s) CHAN, KING WAI	
	Examiner John K. Ford	Art Unit 3753	

All Participants:

(1) John K. Ford.

(2) Mr. Brad Close.

Status of Application: post election

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 18 May 2005

Time: voice mail

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

none

Prior art documents discussed:

none


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The last amendment received March 17, 2005 was not signed by Mr. Close, an omission that was not caught by the clerical staff. Mr. Close and the undersigned agreed that Mr. Close would send in a properly signed amendment to replace the unsigned one in lieu of the Examiner sending out another notice of non-responsiveness to correct for a signature deficiency. .